

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,812	03/30/2004	Eiju Komuro	P25117	2851
7055	7590 02/21/2006		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			ALANKO, ANITA KAREN	
1950 ROLAN RESTON, V	ND CLARKE PLACE (A 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11201011,		·	1765	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>&gt;</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
055 4-45 0	10/811,812	KOMURO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anita K. Alanko	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this commur D (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/16/	06 telenhone intenview		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the mer	rite ie
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		113 13
Disposition of Claims		,	
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers		,	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •	121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stag	е
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		
Patent and Trademark Office			

This restriction requirement replaces the restriction requirement that was mailed on January 16, 2006. The examiner apologizes for overlooking the pre-amendment that was filed on March 30, 2004. The restriction requirement is as follows:

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a method, classified in class 216, subclass 2+.
- II. Claims 6-7, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 156, subclass 345+.
- II. Claims 8-17, drawn to a product, classified in class 332, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a different method such as by using selective deposition instead of etching.

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice a different process such as making a different device, for example an ink jet printhead or a semiconductor device.

Inventions II and III are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus is not an obvious apparatus since no distinct apparatus limitations are present, and the apparatus can be used to make different products such as an ink jet printhead or semiconductor.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to William Boshnick on January 11, 2006 and a revised restriction requirement was made on February 16, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita K. Alanko whose telephone number is 571-272-1458. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri until 2:30 pm (Wed until 11:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anita K. Homber Anita K. Alanko Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765 Page 4